Info-ParaNet Newsletters Volume I Number 377

Thursday, March 14th 1991

Today's Topics:

Re: Statements of accepta
Re: File disclaimers
Re: Old writers
Re: Lazar end.
(none)
RE: Paranet Newsletter 376
(none)
Incinerated Village
Interview
Re: Resonant Gravity Coils
Gravitational Magnetism
Relativity Woes
SS433
arui

From: Rick.Moen@f27.n125.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Rick Moen)
Subject: Re: Statements of accepta
Date: 10 Mar 91 09:57:42 GMT

JT> Oh. I thought that since _you_ made the claim that Klass,
JT> Sheaffer and Oberg _actually had made_ definitive statements,
JT> that you also had some _evidence or ready information_ on
JT> which to base that claim. Sorry, _my mistake_. (I will,
JT> however, bear this in mind in evaluating your other claims.)

Your mistaken assumption is that I posted the reference (to Jim Speiser, not to you) with the aim of convincing you. People who have a long history of going around posing insinuative rhetorical questions, replete with highly selective and distortive exceprts from my postings elsewhere just aren't high up on my to-be-persuaded list. Jim, on the other hand, very likely has already seen the aforementioned statements -- he's a broad and active reader -- and my intent was just to remind him.

However, I was nonetheless quite glad to refer you to the best possible sources for the answers to your questions. You're welcome, I think.

JT> I will indeed try to contact the three above individuals.

I doubt you'll find that there's much percentage in it. Not many facile opportunities for cheap shots are likely to result, and you might have to expend a little effort along the way.

Regards,

Rick M.

--
Rick Moen - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Rick.Moen@f27.n125.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Rick.Moen@f27.n125.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Rick Moen)
Subject: Re: File disclaimers
Date: 10 Mar 91 09:57:46 GMT

JT> Maybe I wasn't sufficiently clear. I asked what _you_
JT> meant by "of their kind", information which, at least
JT> according to my way of thinking, cannot be found in the
JT> original source material.

I referred to the "kind" to which the original poster, to whom I was responding in ASK_UFO, had for his own reasons consigned them. Had you included a modicum of the context of the discussion, that would have been clear. The point of my partially-quoted posting, the point that you carefully snipped out, was to state my opinion that the other fellow's highly unfavourable view about Cooper's material was not a good reason to delete it from one's board, and that _if_ one held such a view -- -=which I do not=- -- that there are always less radical options than deletion.

For some reason, you saw fit to completely cut out this opinion of mine, the entire reason for -- and point of -- my message.

RM> I fully disagree. Sysops have no obligation to justify or
RM> explain their placement of download files on their own systems.
RM> If I were moved to post such an explanation, it would be as
RM> follows: "Because I damned well felt like it." That should
RM> more than cover it.

JT> I suppose that this depends on what the sysop decides to
JT> be his "obligation". If one's desire is to present a rational,
JT> objective, and comprehensive overview of a subject, then it is
JT> imperative that rational, objective, and comprehensive
JT> criteria for data presented be made available. If, on the
JT> other hand, one chooses to ignore this obligation and run the
JT> risk (or at least arouse the suspicion) of presenting
JT> half-truths, faulty lines of reasoning, and
JT> conclusions-by-decree, then "because I damned well felt like
JT> it" will indeed suffice.

If you want to go around and sermonise to some flock of sysops
concerning their download areas, be my guest, but please forgive
my absenting myself from your denomination. I get tired of
cranls trying to tell sysops what to do with their own property,
and have low tolerance.

In point of fact, in any event, I was trying to suggest (to the
other fellow) some less drastic alternatives to his proposal to
delete the Cooper files. By contrast, on my own board, I don't
post disclaimers, nor do I delete text files, nor have I ever
considered doing so. I maintain this wide-open policy on
my BBS for what I regard as an eminently good reason: Yep,
because I damned well feel like it.

Regards,
Rick M.

--
Rick Moen - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Rick.Moen@f27.n125.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Rick.Moen@f27.n125.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Rick Moen)
Subject: Re: Old writers
Date: 10 Mar 91 09:57:56 GMT

 JT> (On second thought, it doesn't sound OK. I don't think you
 JT> can lead anyone to enlightenment without making him or her
 JT> think!)

Ah, but some people, after being led to enlightenment, still
don't know where they are.

Regards,
Rick M.

--
Rick Moen - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Rick.Moen@f27.n125.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Rick.Moen@f27.n125.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Rick Moen)
Subject: Re: Lazar end.
Date: 10 Mar 91 09:58:08 GMT

CM> Hi Don. While you're checking on the W-2, why not spend a
CM> couple of dimes checking out Rick Moen?

CM> I mean, do any of us REALLY know if HE exists? A committee of
CM> hydrophobic Mensa misfits could be writing this stuff, you know.

Ha, found out at last. ;-)   Let me just wipe that foam off
my mouth....

Best Regards,
Rick M.

--
Rick Moen - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Rick.Moen@f27.n125.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: ecn.purdue.edu!lush
Subject: (none)
Date: 12 Mar 91 20:19:57 GMT

From: lush@ecn.purdue.edu (Gregory B Lush)

There were a couple inappropriate criticisms of the
America West Publications catalog which I feel the need to address.

From: K_MACARTHUR@unhh.unh.edu (Korac MacArthur)

Korac and others after him referred to the Phoenix Journals as 'anti-semitic.'
This is an often-used term and just as often misused term. Let's start
with some definitions.

(Webster's 7th Collegiate Dictionary, Copyright (C) 1963 by Merriam-Webster,
Inc. No part of this information may be copied or reprinted without the
express written consent of the publisher.)

Sem.ite \'sem-.i-t\ n [F se`mite, fr. Sem Shem, fr. LL, fr. Gk Se-m, fr.
   Heb. (XShe-m 1: a member of any of the peoples descended from Shem 2: a

member of any of a group of peoples of southwestern Asia chiefly represented now by the Jews and Arabs but in ancient times also by the Babylonians, Assyrians, Aramaeans, Canaanites, and Phoenicians

Note that to be a Semite is not to be Jewish, but to be a descenedent of Shem, the eldest son of Noah. Thus, many Arabs and Jews have common blood in them so one should not equate 'anti-semitism' with dislike of Jews.

Next:

Zi.on \'zi--*n\ n [Zion, citadel in Palestine which was the nucleus of Jerusalem, fr. ME XSion, fr. OE, fr. LL, fr. Heb Ssub-dot>i-yo-n 1a: the Jewish people : ISRAEL 1b: the Jewish homeland that is symbolic of Judaism or of Jewish national aspiration 1c: the ideal nation or society envisaged by Judaism 2: HEAVEN 3: UTOPIA

Zi.on.ism \'zi--*-.niz-*m\ \-n*st\ \.zi--*-'nis-tik\ n : a theory, plan, or movement for setting up a Jewish national or religious community in Palestine - Zi.on.ist ajor ;n

It is important to understand that the current leadership of the nation Israel is made up mostly of Zionists. Further, these Zionists are not Semites. Arthur Koestler has written a book called 'The Thirteenth Tribe', which is a reference to the twelve tribes of israel. (I did not capitalize israel there because 'Israel' in the Old Testament refers to ones who are "Chosen of God', not to the nation of Israel.) In this book Koestler describes a people with a mixture of Finn, Turk, and Mongol descent called the Khazars who in the 3rd-10th century ruled the region where the Volga enters the Caspian Sea (there is region called Kazakstan there now). They were with Attila, the Hun, originally. The Khazars were one of three powerful empires; the others officially claimed the Muslim and Christian religions, respectively, as their national religion.

Under pressure to adopt a state religion and
in order to not antagonize the other two powers, the Khazars decided to officially adopt Judaism as their official religion. The Khazars were eventually defeated by the Rus (Russians) and were scattered, most migrating west to what is now Eastern Europe. Koestler proves through population studies and biological studies that the present leadership of Israel is made up of people of Khazar descent. Thus, if one is critical of Israeli leadership, one is not anti-Semitic, but simply critical of Israeli leadership. If one hates Jews and Arabs descendended from Shem in general without regard to individuals, one is anti-semitic.

Further, if the Phoenix Journals were critical of Zionists just because they are Zionists, that would be as equally inappropriate as being anti-Semitic would be. However, the Phoenix Journals are critical of people who are, in Hatonn's opinion (backed up by verifiable information), working toward control/slavery of other peoples. If true, this leaves the Planners open to criticism.

Now it seems as though I'm going to a lot of trouble to worry about semantics and definitions. However, whenever the many writers/researchers try to outline the incredible world influence of the Zionists,

they are immediately called anti-Semitic. It is a reflex reaction, and it is incorrect. This is one thing that the many writers/researchers typically point to as a manifestation of this Zionist influence, but not proof of it.

An example is our former Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff George Brown, who was Chief during the end of the Ford presidency. He warned law students at a talk at Duke University of the serious danger to America that unlimited support of Israel entails. He called Israel and her armed forces 'a burden' to the United States. For these types of comments based on his obviously well-informed opinion, General Brown was immediately labeled anti-Semitic and forced to apologize to the President. Editorials across the nation demanded General Brown's resignation because of these and similar statements.

The Phoenix Journals discuss plans for a world takeover which are in place and operating now. They identify many of the 'players' as being these Khazar/Zionists. They do not criticize Jews and they do not say that all Zionists are actively participating. Within the writings they continually distinguish between Zionists and Jews and even feel that the true Jews will suffer the most from Zionist plans. To call the Phoenix Journals or the authors thereof anti-Semitic is simply wrong.

The same can be said of the charge of 'Gay-bashing' which was made through the appearance of similarities to Lyndon LaRouche's ideals. The Phoenix Journals do talk about gays. Hatonn says over and over that he has no opinion on a person choosing one of the same gender to love and share a life with. Never are the writings critical of an individual in any manner solely because that person is gay. Criticism in the Phoenix Journals is earned the same way praise is earned--by ones works. He is critical of the acts of intercourse of gays just as he is critical of the preoccupation with sex by heterosexuals. He says it is damaging to the person because in excess it creates imbalance, just as eating too much of something or too little of something else creates imbalance in the physical body. Any imbalance in your body makes it weaker and thus less able to fight intrusions/disease.

Finally, in the time that I have been reading the Journals, I have been exposed to many New Age books. I now understand why many have quickly associated these works with the New Age books you have seen. I can only tell you that these are different. I'm sure that you feel that this is the same garbage, but it would cost a lot money for you to probe it to yourself and I don't sense that you feel the odds are very good that you will be convinced. I will cease in spouting about them, and I hope that you will keep the address in case something should convince you that they might have some merit.

This is not the forum for much of what I have written in this post, but I didn't feel that I could leave those charges without addressing them.

Greg Lush

From: boulder!uunet.UU.NET!verifone!verifone.com!ed_l1
Subject: RE: Paranet Newsletter 376
Date: 13 Mar 91 02:19:58 GMT

From: 'Ed L'Esperance - TechPubs HNL - (808) 625-3160' <verifone.com!ed_l1>

With reference to the 'red lights.'

I recall in my boyhood in Greenwich, Connecticut, my friends and I used to sneak out to the beach late at night and watch the sky.

Several evenings in late summer we observed pairs of red lights, and an occassional single, moving across our area. They seemed to at first move slowly, then as they got farther away they moved faster and faster till they disappeared out over Long Island Sound.

Of course, they turned out to be temperature-inversion reflections of auto taillights in this case, on a nearby one-way street. Other lights I have seen in the sky aren't so easy to explain: like the 'satellite' we were once watching that changed course by about 45 degrees. But who knows? We always must consider the mundane explanations alongside the speculative.

Ed_L1@VeriFone.Com :: UUCP%'ED_L1@VeriFone.Com'
Ed L'Esperance
'What we say is less important than what we communicate.'

From: Michael.Corbin@f4.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Michael Corbin)
Subject: (none)
Date: 13 Mar 91 03:20:00 GMT

> From: lush@ecn.purdue.edu (Gregory B Lush)
> Finally, in the time that I have been reading the Journals, I have been
> exposed
> to many New Age books. I now understand why many have quickly
> associated
> these works with the New Age books you have seen. I can only tell you
> that
> these are different. I'm sure that you feel that this is the same
> garbage, but it would cost a lot money for you to probe it to yourself

> and I don't sense that you feel the odds are very good that you will
> be convinced. I will cease in spouting about them, and I hope that
> you will keep the address in case something should convince you that
> they might have some merit.
>
> This is not the forum for much of what I have written in this post, but
> I didn't feel that I could leave those charges without addressing them.

Thank you for posting your article. Regarding your above statement, I wish to say that it is quite the contrary. This is the forum to address these issues and any other issues that anyone cares to in a responsible manner - no ad hominum attacks. I appreciate your desire to bring this forth and generate discussion designed to promote discovery and understanding about all aspects that make up the paranormal phenomenon.

Mike

--
Michael Corbin - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Michael.Corbin@f4.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Clark.Matthews@paranet.FIDONET.ORG (Clark Matthews)
Subject: Incinerated Village
Date: 13 Mar 91 07:23:00 GMT

To: astro.dnet.ge.com!CARR

Hi Paul.

> It seems to me that the Kirimukuyu incident could be explained
> by your usual bloodthirsty types dropping Napalm from planes
> or
> choppers. Africa has had its share of this sort of thing.
> Did
> I miss something?

Kenya was quite peaceful in 1952. Frankly, if I were to suspect a human agency (which I really don't) I'd suspect the witnesses. A feud with the village, maybe over the marriage taking place. A raid, a massacre, a big fire to cover up the evidence. According to Jacques Vallee, the reports of lights & beams in the sky came from several neighboring villages, however. The mystery has never been solved.

> As to the disappearance of the British regiment, I would like
> to offer one > possible explanation ... Their commander tried to take

> advantage of the fog bank to try and go around behind his objective.
> They got lost, got behind enemy lines, and were wiped out.

Interesting, but the regiments on either side would have encountered them had they demarched. Also, if the regiment mixed it up with the Turks and got wiped out, it's news to the Turks...

> The British suffered immense casualties in that campaign, and
> not every single man killed could be accounted for. Does that hold
> water?

Well, the Gallipoli/Dardanelles campaign was an unqualified disaster. Along with the Anzio landing and the Italian Campaign, it was an amazingly stupid idea, even for Winston Churchill. Looking for the "soft underbelly" of the Turks in Gallipoli (or the Axis in the Italian Alps) was really absurd. (T.E. Lawrence found EXACTLY where it was two years later, though).

But that's one of the reasons that the disappearance was so strange. It would have been highly unusual for the commander to divert the regiment from its planned line of march. It might have been a good idea, but BEF commanders had good ideas whipped out of them before Gen. Allenby came along in 1917.

Plus the narrow beaches of Anatolia and Gallipoli are surmounted by rugged, rocky cliffs and hills that force attackers to be good rock climbers and then be stupid enough to march inland through narrow defiles. It's a defender's dream and the allies knew it. The Allies had much better success on the Western Front (where they could actually gain 500 yards or more for 10,000 lives!) than they did in the Dardanelles. They never got more than 5 miles inland in Turkey.

So the Brits couldn't have found themselves behind the Turks without running into a bunch of dug-in, tenacious defenders first. And even a determined assault wouldn't have resulted in 100% casualties -- even if it came close (the Canadian Corp in Ypres suffered over 60% casualties and up to 95% in some regiments) there would have been survivors/prisoners.

No, it's really strange. Nothing is observed and dissected more methodically than a battle. And the pointless slaughter of 100,000 men in the course of the Gallipoli fiasco was watched VERY closely -- so that EVERYTHING could be hushed up. But for all that, the regiment vanished completely. Weird, or what?

Best,
Clark

PS -- Sorry if I ran on, but my special interest for many years was WWI. Hmmmm. Maybe they became prisoners of the infamous German cruiser Goeben?

--

Clark Matthews - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Clark.Matthews@paranet.FIDONET.ORG

From: John.Sulik@p0.f80.n120.z1.FIDONET.ORG (John Sulik)
Subject: Interview
Date: 13 Mar 91 06:46:23 GMT

Hello All! I am doing a research paper on UFOs and am required to do
an interview. Any one interested please contact me via email.

--
John Sulik - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: John.Sulik@p0.f80.n120.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Clark.Matthews@p4.f0.n9.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Clark Matthews)
Subject: Re: Resonant Gravity Coils
Date: 10 Mar 91 20:56:00 GMT

> electromagnet with hollow tubular windings that are made
> from a
> heat-proof ceramic material,' with the windings filled
> with a molten
> metal.

Hi Roger. Actually, Behrent's idea is not a new one. However, using molten
metal as a dielectric would be self-defeating.

Possibly it is a coolant. This is really conventional technology, these
days. The Russians use molten sodium for reactor cooling in many of their
sub-based, ship-based and spacecraft reactors.

Interestingly, the Russians have many embarassing experiences very similar
to "metal-ejecting UFO" sitings. When an overload condition develops, it is
necessary to vent -- dump, squirt -- the overheated sodium into the
environment. It's messy, dangerous and highly radioactive.

Of course, the magnesium Behrent studied was completely un-radioactive and
extraordinarily pure. But recall that it was burning as it fell and as it
floated on the water, so it must have been superheated. Of course,
Magnesium burns hot enough that water can not extinguish it -- it simply

breaks up the water molecules and uses their oxygen to keep on burning.

Liquid sodium accidents, I imagine, smell like a huge radioactive rotten egg. They also probably kill anyone who can smell them, eventually.

Best,
  Clark

--
Clark Matthews - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Clark.Matthews@p4.f0.n9.z9.FIDONET.ORG

From: Clark.Matthews@paranet.FIDONET.ORG (Clark Matthews)
Subject: Gravitational Magnetism
Date: 13 Mar 91 06:54:00 GMT

TO: violet.berkeley.edu!chalmers

Hello John. Long time no type!

> Robert Forward, a physicist specializing
> in gravitational theory and 'hard SF' writer (Dragon's Egg and
> sequel), has described an antigravity device consisting of a
> toroidal coil with an ID of about 100 meters. If the mass
> of a neutron star were to flow through the windings of the
> coil every millisecond, the magnetic analog of the
> gravitational
> field ('protational' or gravitational magnetic field) would
> neutralize
> gravity in the center of the coil.

Whoa!!! How do I fit a neutron star in my garage?!

Seriously, this experiment sounds over-the-top, except perhaps as a thought experiment. I'm reminded of Charlie Chaplin's "globe dance" in The Great Dictator, however...

I wonder, what does Robert Forward conceive gravity to be? Does he think it's an elemental physical force? If so, better get a bigger garage and a bigger framed portrait of Einstein.

Or would he entertain the notion that gravity is a resultant of other forces? In which case size (100 meters, neutron star, etc.) might be completely irrelevant. And a small bust of Neils Bohr or Schroedinger or Herzfeld might do.

It might be interesting to consider gravity as the resultant of mass

and time.

Best,
  Clark

--
Clark Matthews - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Clark.Matthews@paranet.FIDONET.ORG

From: shemtaia.weeg.uiowa.edu!jrblack
Subject: Relativity Woes
Date: 14 Mar 91 14:27:17 GMT

From: James Roger Black <jrblack@shemtaia.weeg.uiowa.edu>

General Relativity, Einstein's magnum opus, may be in trouble, according to the latest issue of Science News (9 March 91, p. 148).

In a supercomputer simulation conducted by Stuart L. Shapiro and Saul A. Teukolsky of Cornell University, the gravitational collapse of large, nonspherical particle clouds in space resulted in the the formation of what are known as 'naked singularities'--points of infinite mass and density without a surrounding black hole to insulate them from the outside universe. This violates the 'cosmic censorship' rule which states that naked singularities cannot exist in the real world.

This represents a potential disaster for general relativity, according to Shapiro, because it results in a situation in which relativity theory fails to model the physical world.

'If cosmic censorship really goes out the window ... then one would need to revise the mathematical equations for relativistic gravity,' Shapiro says.

For further information, see Shapiro and Teukolsky's article in the 25 February 91 issue of Physical Review Letters.

From: shemtaia.weeg.uiowa.edu!jrblack
Subject: SS433
Date: 14 Mar 91 14:28:01 GMT

From: James Roger Black <jrblack@shemtaia.weeg.uiowa.edu>

There has been some discussion here of SS433, a rather bizarre astronomical object which has been dubbed 'the star that's both coming and going'.

SS-433 was discovered (more or less) in 1978. It has a strange spectrum which changes dramatically from one day to the next; part of it is blue-shifted (i.e., coming toward us), part of it is red-shifted (i.e., going away from us) and part of it is standing still. Even worse, it appears that the parts that were going away change direction after a time and start heading toward us, while the parts that were coming toward us start going away.

The most likely model is a binary star system in which electromagnetic interactions between the two stars cause gigantic jets of hot gas to be ripped away from one of the stars and hurled off into interstellar space at tremendous speed. The jets are going in opposite directions (hence the simultaneous red and blue shifts); and because the stars are revolving around a common center of gravity, they gradually exchange positions relative to earth over time. It's sort of like a cosmic water sprinkler.

Scientific American had a long, detailed article on SS433 in its October 1980 issue; other articles were published in Sky and Telescope (8/81), Science News (24 January 81 and 11 July 81), and Industrial Research (6/80). Omni had a one-page summary and update in September 1986 (p. 30).

Claims have been made that there's more to this than the official line indicates. If anybody has the details, I'd like to hear more about it, including some published sources if possible.

--
Roger Black, University of Iowa, Iowa City
INTERNET: jrblack@shemtaia.weeg.uiowa.edu

From: afglsc.span.nasa.gov!webb
Subject: arui
Date: 14 Mar 91 16:25:21 GMT

From: webb@afglsc.span.nasa.gov

I can address two of Mike Corbin's questions regarding astronomical items.
1) SS433 is a peculiar star system in which one object possesses twin rotating radiating light beams similar to a pulsar. The system is now relatively well understood and would NOT be a good place for life, so as far as I know it has no bearing on UFOs.

2) Halley's comet: The light outburst from Halley so far from the sun is unusual. Brian Marsden is a recognized comet expert and if he says it is hard to explain I believe him. Most comet activity occurs when the comet is close to the sun, whose radiation causes the comet to outgas from its icy and dirty core.

With regard to the solar flare postings, what does this have to do with UFOs? Periodic energetic flare outbursts are not uncommon, especially at this phase of the solar cycle. Maybe you were worried about possible outages of Paranet communications.

On a separate matter, I was intrigued by Roger Black's reference in Vallee's book 'Confrontations' to Behrendt's paper. What the hey is "Annals of Ufological Research Advances' ?!! Has anyone ever heard of this obscure publication? Is it an English-language periodical?

Dave Webb

********To have your comments in the next issue, send electronic mail to********
'infopara' at the following address:

UUCP {ncar,isis,boulder}!scicom!infopara
DOMAIN infopara@scicom.alphacdc.com
ADMIN Address infopara-request@scicom.alphacdc.com
{ncar,isis,boulder}!scicom!infopara-request

**********The**End**of**Info-ParaNet**Newsletter**********